

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

Please replace the original drawing sheets with the attached replacement drawing sheets which include no new matter.

The figures in the replacement drawing sheets are identical to the corresponding original figures except that their height/width ratios have been adjusted to remove the “skewing” issues kindly noted by the Examiner.

Further, due to the height/width ratio adjustment, several figures originally presented on the same drawing sheet can no longer fit on the same replacement drawing sheet. As a result, each figure is now presented on a separate replacement drawing sheet.

Entry is respectfully requested.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet(s)

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's thorough review of the present application, and respectfully request reconsideration in light of the preceding amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

The drawing objection is believed overcome in view of the replacement drawing sheets, although Applicants believe that the original drawings are compliant with the USPTO's electronically "reproducible" standard of 37 *CFR* 1.84.

The art rejections of all claims are respectfully traversed, because the applied primary reference of *Chang* (U.S. Patent No. 7,450,926) is not prior art to the present invention. It is noted that the earliest 35 *U.S.C.* 102(e) reference date of *Chang* (i.e., June 29, 2004) postdates the priority dates of the instant application (i.e., July 18, 2003 and April 12, 2004).

To disqualify *Chang*, Applicants hereby perfect the priority claims in the instant application by submitting herewith

- English translations of the priority documents, and
- Translator's statements that the translations are complete and accurate.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in the USPTO from the International Bureau as kindly indicated by the Examiner in the summary page of the Office Action.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the priority claims in the instant application have been perfected. *Chang* should be disqualified as non-prior art and the art rejections relying on *Chang* should also be withdrawn.

For essentially the same reason discussed above with respect to *Chang*, Applicants respectfully submit that the teaching reference of *Park* (U.S. Patent No. 7,430,421) applied against claim 17 should also be disqualified as non-prior art. Specifically, the earliest 35 U.S.C. 102(e) reference date of *Park* (i.e., August 5, 2004) postdates the *perfected* priority dates of the instant application (i.e., July 18, 2003 and April 12, 2004). The art rejection relying on *Park* should be now withdrawn.

In the absence of other relevant and applicable art, Applicants respectfully submit that all original claims are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable indication of allowance is courteously solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned, Applicant's attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP
/Yoon S Ham/
Yoon S. Ham
Registration No. 45,307

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1111
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile
Date: May 20, 2009
YSH/KL/jr